

Non-Examinations Assessment Policy Risk Management Process & Staff Responsibilities

Prepared by

Jan Stewart

Oct 2023

To be Reviewed

Annually

Oct 2024



Contents

- 1. What does this policy affect?
- 2. What are non-examination assessments?
- 3. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities
- 4. Special consideration
- 5. Malpractice
- 6. Enquiries about results
- 7. Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- 8. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

1. What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

2. What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

3. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre (CJP)

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of <u>NEA</u>
- Ensures that the centre's *Non-examination Assessment Policy* is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment.

 Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders (LT)

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (QN & LIV)

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements).
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the
 internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to
 the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer (JXS)

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff.
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.
- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR
 designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates.

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible
 to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents
- Ensures candidates:
 - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - receive guidance on setting out references
 - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner

5

Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
 (http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
 - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.
- Ensures if candidate's work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager (PW/SWP)

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer (JXS)

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed nonexamination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Exams officer (JXS)

Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher

- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body.
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject head/lead

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/ updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process.
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to
 enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome
 known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (QN/LIV)

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence.
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period

- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series
 affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer (JXS)

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre assessed work
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer (JXS)

- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging

- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer (JXS)

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer (JXS)

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the ASL Lead /SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

ASL Lead/ Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo) (RJP)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences</u> –
 Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of
 working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been
 obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support
 of a facilitator in assessments are met

Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

4. Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer (JXS)

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/ directs relevant staff where applicable to Form 15- JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body.

5. Malpractice

Head of centre (CJP)

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body. (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be reported to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself. This includes knowledge of AI and how to proceed if they suspect a candidate of misuse as per JCQ documentation AI and how to proceed if they suspect a candidate of misuse as per JCQ documentation *Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications.
- https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/artificial-intelligence/

Subject teacher

- ► Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against</u> candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media

 Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer (JXS)

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice including suspected use of AI.

6. Post-results services

Head of centre (CJP)

- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results, a or an appeal
- Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)
- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

Exams officer (JXS)

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed
 components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>,
 Information and guidance for centres
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre (HW)

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
 reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have,
 the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities.
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately.

Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/ instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed.
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- ► Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer (JXS)

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome.

7. Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre (HW)

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all
reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have,
the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (QN/LIV)

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead (CL/HOD)

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer (JXS)

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

8. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task	AG
failure/corruption of task	noted prior to start of course	JXS
details where set task details	IT systems checked prior to key date	
accessed from the awarding	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
specification	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's	
•	specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	CL/HOD
the marking criteria and what	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	,
they need to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
and the de to gam or care	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	
absence during the task setting	absence.	
stage	ubsence.	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	CL/HOD
given to candidates undertaking	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	CL/110D
new specification	requirements/tasks for new specifications	
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	unresolved	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	CL/HOD
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	CL/110D
issued to candidates on time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	CL/HOD
candidates		CL/HOD
candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term		
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	
absence during the issuing of	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
tasks stage		
Task taking Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	MR
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	CL/HOD
activities	Time stabilizer assession of the sufficient assessing the sufficient asset as sufficient asset as sufficient as sufficie	CL/UCD
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT	CL/HOD
for candidates to take tasks	facilities for the start of the course	JXS
under appropriate supervision		MB

	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at	
Lassifficionet accomplication of	the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	CL /LIOD
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	CL/HOD
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	JXS
authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination	
A d'alata 'a	assessment policy	CI (IIOD
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	CL/HOD
malpractice prior to submitting	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	CJP
their work for assessment	(chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	0. /
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	CL/HOD
put in place for an assessment	the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the	DJK
where a candidate is approved	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
for arrangements	candidate	
Advice and feedback		0. (1.05
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	CL/HOD
advice and feedback not given	record all information provided to candidates before work begins	JB
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
Condidate daine no advice and	starting on their work	ID.
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	JB
feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	CL/HOD
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance	
stage	procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the	
	subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	
	task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	JB
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	JXS
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	1/2
teacher over and above that	given	
allowed in the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted	
specification	to the awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	CL/HOD
information from published	before work is submitted for formal assessment	CL/TIOD
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
Jource	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	בעוועועענכט, ווטוו־פאעוווווענוטוו עטטפטטווופוונט	

	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	CL/HOD
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	CL/HOD JXS
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	JXS
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	JXS JCB
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	CL/HOD Subject teacher
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	CL/HOD JXS Subject teacher
Word and time limits		1
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	CL/HOD
Collaboration and group work		T .
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	CL/HOD
Authentication procedures	Boundary Constitution (Cl. 1977)	CI /IICS
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work	JCB JXS

Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register d components Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	JXS JXS CL/HOD Subject teacher CL/HOD JXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register d components Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	JXS CL/HOD
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register d components	JXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	JXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	JXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	JXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required d components	IXS
Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CONTROL CONTROL DE LOS CONTROL DE TROCCOLICO	İ
	CL/HOD
secure storage	0. /
assessments	
JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	Subject teach
Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	CL/HOD
	<u> </u>
ассеринд the work ој а сапајаате jor jormal assessment	
	CL/HOD
	r .
work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	
	JCB
Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
examination assessments	
current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	CL/HOD
, ,	
current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current ICQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate

D
D
D
,
t teacher
t teacher
D
D
-

Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	CL/HOD
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	